

ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>William Aiken
<ADDRESS1>19183 CEC
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Flint
<STATE>VI
<ZIP>75762
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>9038947959
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>wfaiken@ev1.net
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am
concerned that this proposal could make my current service
unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make
few long distance calls would pay the same as people or
businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and
primarily residential customers would bear the same universal
service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business
customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone only for safety, and security due to my
wife's terminal illness. I don't want to lose these benefits so
that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge
you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to
a flat-fee. The USF is an unfair tax not a fee. My home phone
base rate is under \$9.00 with all the fees and taxes the bottom
amount is \$26.00! Now you tell me that is right? Hell NO it
isn't! Sprint even charges a fee because I use another service
for long distance and it has more than it's share of
fee's/taxes. STOP IT ALREADY!

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

William Aiken
19183 CEC
Flint, Texas 75762